## Sugar cess negates spirit of GST: Experts price is between ₹2,600 and ₹2,800

INDIVIAL DHASMANA New Delhi, 7 May

It is ironical that the government is trying to bring in the sugar cess, which it abolished just a year ago to make the transition to the new indirect tax regime.

Also, experts say the Goods and Services Tax (GST) Council has the powers to impose a cess other than those meant for compensating states under the new tax system only when there is a natural disaster.

In June last year, the government did away with the Sugar Cess Act, 1982. The Act was abolished, along with 12 other kinds of cess, on July 1, 2017, when the GST was introduced.

Now, a committee headed by Assam Finance Minister Himanta Biswa Sarma is looking at levying a sugar cess at ₹300 a quintal, which divided the GST Council last week. Experts say such a move would contrast with what the government had stated in its press statement in June taken this step in stages by abolishing last year. The government had stated

**TAXING TIMES** 

Through Taxation Laws Amendment Act 2017, 13 cesses are abolished. However, the date of the implementation will coincide with the date of GST roll-out. These 13 cesses include:

- The Rubber Act, 1947: Cess on rubber
- The Industries (Development and Regulation) Act, 1951: Cess on automobiles
- The Tea Act, 1953: Cess on tea
- The Coal Mines (Conservation and Development) Act, 1974: Cess on coal
- The Beedi Workers' Welfare Cess Act, 1971: Cess on beedis

that through the three Budgets -

2015-16, 2016-17, and 2017-18 - it had

abolished various kinds of cess on

goods and services to prepare the

"The central government has

ground for the GST.

- The Water (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Cess Act, 1977: Cess levied on water consumed by certain industries and by local authorities
- ■The Sugar Cess Act, 1982, the Sugar Development Fund Act, 1982: Cess on sugar
- ■The Jute Manufacturers Cess Act, 1983: Cess on jute goods manufactured or produced



amount of cess from ₹25 a quintal to

₹200 a quintal. The aim was to

increase the accruals to the Sugar

Development Fund (SDF). Then too,

constitutional validity of the move is concerned, Article 279 A (4f) of the Constitution Amendment Act empowers the Council to impose any special rate or rates for a specified period to raise additional resources during any "natural calamity or disaster". "Any such move has to be linked to natural calamity or disaster. The government will have to face the constitutional bar and amend it if it decides to

per quintal. "Sugarcane farmers are

in deep distress," Finance Minister

Arun Jaitley had said. As far as the

impose the sugar cess," Abhishek Rastogi, partner with Khaitan & Company, said. He said though addressing farmers' distress was laudable, it should not be through a cess. Abhishek Jain, partner with EY

India, said though the Council had the powers to levy a cess, doing so might not be a good idea as it would mean bringing in a new law and increase in compliances and input credit issues.

that the remaining 13 varieties of cess would also be abolished with effect the objective was to help sugarcane from July 1, 2017. The Sugar Cess Act, 1982, was various kinds of cess so that it is easier amended in 2016 to raise the ₹3,500 per quintal and the market More on www.business standard.com

to fit in various goods and services in

different tax slabs for the GST," it had

stated. The statement went on to say

growers. This time the cost of producing sugar has risen beyond





