GOVERNMENT OF INDIA

MINISTRY OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS, FOOD & PUBLIC DISTRIBUTION DEPARTMENT OF FOOD AND PUBLIC DISTRIBUTION

LOK SABHA

UNSTARRED QUESTION NO. 409
TO BE ANSWERED ON 26th FEBRUARY, 2013

DEREGULATION OF SUGAR SECTOR

409. SHRIMATI USHA VERMA:

SHRIMATI SUSHILA SAROJ:

SHRIMATI SEEMA UPADHYAY:

SHRI SONAWANE PRATAP NARAYANRAO:

SHRI HARSH VARDHAN:

SHRI HARIBHAU JAWALE:

Will the Minister of CONSUMER AFFAIRS, FOOD AND PUBLIC DISTRIBUTION उपमोक्ता मामले, खाध और सार्वजनिक वितरण मंत्री be pleased to state:

- (a) the salient features of the recommendations made by the Rangarajan Committee regarding deregulation of sugar sector along with the reaction of the Union and State Governments thereto;
- (b) whether the Government proposes to bring reforms and deregulate the sugar sector;
- (c) if so, the details thereof and the reasons therefor along with its likely impact on the availability and price of sugar in the country; and
- (d) the steps taken/proposed to be taken by the Government for ensuring the avilability of sugar at affordable price to the consumers in the country?

ANSWER

MINISTER OF STATE-(INDEPENDENT CHARGE) FOR CONSUMER AFFAIRS, FOOD & PUBLIC DISTRIBUTION

(PROF. K.V. THOMAS)

- (a): The committee headed by Dr. C. Rangarajan on Sugar Industry submitted its report to the Government on 5th October, 2012. The Committee has, interalia, recommended removal of the levy sugar obligation and dispensing with the regulated release mechanism on non-levy sugar; Rationalisation of sugarcane pricing; Abolition of cane area reservation system and bonding; Doing away with the minimum distance norms as states discontinue cane area reservation; liberalisation of sugar trade; market determination of prices of by-products with no earmarked end use allocations; and taking out sugar from the purview of Jute Packaging Materials (Compulsory use in Packaging Commodities) Act, 1987. The recommendations of the Committee are under consideration of the Government. In its process of consideration, the Central Government has also invited comments of the concerned State Governments. A statement indicating summary of the responses received thus far from State Governments/Union Territory Administrations is annexed.
- (b) & (c): The Government is yet to take decision on the recommendations of the Committee.
- (d): The Government has released adequate quota of non-levy sugar for the period December, 2012 to March, 2013 and sugar prices are currently stable in the domestic market.

QUESTION NO. 409 DUE FOR ANSWER ON 26.02.2013 IN THE LOK SABHA ANNEXURE REFERRED 70 Z REPLY 70 PART (a) 9 THE UNSTARRED

of Levy Sugar obligation, Regulated Release Mechanism, Trade Policy and Compulsory Area Reservation, Minimum Distance Criteria, Sugarcane Price, Revenue Sharing, Removal as under: The main recommendations of Dr. C. Rangarajan Committee relate to the issues of Cane Jute Packing. On these issues the comments received from State Governments / UTs are

7		9	
T	S. No.	States/UT	Comments
		Andaman and	On the issue of removal of levy sugar obligation, the UT
		Islands	comments have been offered on other recommendations.
-	N	Andhra	The State Government has agreed with all the recommendations
		Pradesh	except the one relating to removal of levy sugar obligation and
T			opined that the present system may continue.
4.5	ω	Chhatisgarh	As regards removal of levy sugar obligation, the State Government
			has opined that the present system may continue. No comments
T			have been offered on other recommendations.
_	4	Delhi	The Government of NCT of Delhi has offered its comments only on
			the recommendation relating to removal of levy sugar obligation and
		2	opined that its removal may affect supply through Public
Г			Distribution System (PDS).
	G	Gujarat	The State Government has agreed with all recommendations except
			those relating to Cane area reservation and minimum distance
			criteria. As regards Cane area reservation, the State Government
			has opined that cane area reservation should be on long term basis
			and with regard to minimum distance criteria, it has stated that it
T			lid be
	0	Himachal	
		Pradesh	recommendations except the one relating to removal of levy sugar
T	7	Karnataka	The State Government has agreed with all the recommendations of
			Comm
	CO	Puducherry	On the issue of removal of levy sugar obligation, the UT
			Administration has stated that the subsidy should be in proportion to
			increase in market rate. It has offered no comments on any other
Г			recommendation.
	9	Punjab	The State Government has offered its comments only on the
			recommendation relating to removal of levy sugar obligation and
			opined that the alternative arrangements to ensure supply should be
T			made.
	10	Sikkim	The State Government has offered its comments only on the
			recommendation relating to removal of levy sugar obligation and
T			opined that supply of sugar under PDS should continue.
	11	Tripura	The State Government has opposed removal of levy sugar obligation
			and release mechanism for NE States. As regards trade policy, it has
			stated that internal market should be protected. No comments have
T			been offered on other recommendations.
	12	West Bengal	The State Government has disagreed with the recommendations
			relating to removal of levy sugar obligation and compulsory jute
			packing. No comments have been offered on other
			recommendations.